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Abstract

Case report: Giant-cell tumor (GCT) of the bone is a benign bone tumor which usually arises from the epiphysis of long bones. Distal femur and proximal tibia are the most common sites of this tumor. The proximal radius is extremely rare site of this particular tumor. We present a rare case of Giant cell tumor of proximal radius in a twenty-one year old girl, for which wide margin resection was performed successfully with no recurrence, complications or disability seen at one year follow up.
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Introduction

Giant cell tumour (GCT), also known as Osteoclastoma, is a locally aggressive benign bone tumour, arising from epiphysis of long bones [1]. It commonly occurs in 20 to 40 year of age group and is more common in females [2]. Most common locations are distal femur, proximal tibia, distal radius and spine [3]. The proximal radius is an extremely rare site for giant cell tumours, in contrast to the distal radius. The prevalence of GCT proximal radius is reported to be 0.16%- 0.5% of all GCT cases [4].

We here thus present a rare such case of this tumour i.e. GCT in proximal radius in a twenty one year old female who was successfully managed by wide marginal resection of proximal radius.

Case report

A 21-year old female with right hand dominance presented to orthopaedic department with complain of pain and swelling over non-dominant left elbow and upper forearm since 1 month. Constitutional symptoms were absent. She had taken no treatment, except some analgesics and had never consulted a doctor. Pain was insidious in onset, gradually progressive, localized to left lateral elbow and forearm, dull aching in character which was aggravated with supination-pronation and relieved temporarily by taking rest and oral analgesics. The pain was associated with mild to moderate swelling over the forearm and elbow which was more on the lateral aspect. Family history and past history were unremarkable. There was no other swelling in any other body parts.

On examination, there was localised moderate tenderness at radial head. On palpation, swelling was mild localized and was hard in consistency and immobile, whereas due to the swelling the deeper radial head could not be palpated. Bleeding or ulceration was absent. Range of motion (ROM) in the left elbow joint was 0° -140° of flexion, 40° of pronation, and 45° of supination (Fig 1). Distal neurovascular status was normal. All laboratory investigations were within normal limit.

Plain radiograph of affected elbow and forearm showed a relatively well-defined expansile lytic lesion in epiphysio-metaphyseal region of proximal radius with geographical bone destruction and loss of contours of radial head.
and neck (Fig 2). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) T1 axial and sagittal image showed relatively well defined hyper-intense osteolytic destructive lesion involving proximal radius with cortical breach at anterolateral and posteromedial aspect (fig 3). The radiological and MRI features showed the typical features of giant cell tumor, but to confirm the diagnosis needle biopsy was taken which showed stromal cells, numerous osteoclastic giant cells and scattered lymphocytes, which confirmed the diagnosis of Giant cell tumor of proximal radius. Because of the marked destruction and expansion of the radius, the decision was made to perform wide margin resection of proximal radius.

**Fig 1:** Clinical photograph of the patient showing restricted supination (a) and pronation (b) on the left side.
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**Fig 2:** Pre-operative radiograph AP (a) and lateral (b) views of left elbow showing expansile lesion in proximal radius with no periosteal reaction.
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**Fig 3:** MRI axial (a) and sagittal (b) image of the patient showing hyperintense lesion in proximal radius, intraosseous as well as extra osseous component.
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Under brachial block in supine position, Kocher approach was used to approach the proximal radius (fig 4). The annular ligament was incised along with the joint capsule. The posterior interosseous nerve was identified and was protected. An osteotomy of the radius was performed 2 cm from the distal margin of the lesion and closure was done in layers after achieving haemostasis. The specimen was sent for histo-pathological examination, which confirmed the presence of giant cell tumor, by presence of multinucleate giant cells.

**Fig 4:** Intra-operative photograph (a & b) showing the lesion involving the neck and proximal shaft of radius. Note the cartilage of radial head visible (black arrow).
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Post-operatively, patient’s limb was kept in above elbow slab, which was removed after suture removal. She did not have any wound problems post-operatively. At final follow of 12 months, she had nearly full range of flexion/extension at elbow and supination/pronation with no clinical or radiological symptoms and signs of recurrence of tumour (fig 5).

**Fig 5:** Post-operative radiograph AP (a) and lateral (b) view and clinical photo (c & d) at 1 year post surgery. There were no signs of recurrence and complete range of pronation and supination.
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**Discussion**

Giant cell tumour is a bone tumor that is most common in third to fourth decade of life, though rarely seen in younger age group also. It is benign but locally aggressive tumour involving epiphyseal region of skeletally mature long bones [1,2].
Patients may present with pain, swelling or pathological fracture. Radiologically, it presents as eccentric, expansile, lytic lesion in the epiphyses of long bones [1,2]. Distal femur, proximal tibia and distal radius are the usual sites involved [3,4]. The common differential diagnosis includes aneurysmal bone cyst, chondroblastoma, chondromyxoid fibroma, brown tumor, non-ossifying fibroma, and osteosarcoma [5,6].

The proximal radius is extremely rare site for giant cell tumours. To best of our knowledge, only, ten cases of GCT involving proximal radius have been reported so far in the literature [7-17] (table 1). Methods of treatment ranged from curettage with or without bone grafting, resection with or without reconstruction to even above elbow amputation [17,18]. Mir NA et al and Khan KM et al performed marginal resection [8,14] and Lewis MM et al, Akmaz I et al and Novais EN et al treated the tumor with curettage and bone grafting [7,9,12]. Singh AP et al in 2009 performed above elbow amputation for GCT proximal radius [11]. Dahuja A et al performed resection and reconstruction with fibula autograft [15], Nayar SK et al performed resection and reconstruction with osteoarticular allograft [16]. Song WS et al performed reconstruction with polyethylene insert; pins, screws and bone cement [13], whereas Sakayama K et al used floating radial head prosthesis [10].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Duration (mths)</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Follow up (mths)</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Lewis MM7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Curettage + Bone grafting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Mir NA8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Pain elbow</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>No recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Akmaz I9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Discomfort proximal forearm</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>Curettage and autogenous iliac crest bone graft</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>No recurrence No infection No fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Sakayama K10</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Elbow pain</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>En bloc resection and reconstruction with radial head prosthesis</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>No recurrence No loosening No dislocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Singh AP 11</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Pain and progressively increasing swelling around elbow</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Above elbow amputation</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>No recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Novais EN12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Multicentric GCT Pain and swelling elbow</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>Curettage + Burr + Phenol + Bone graft</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lack terminal 150 of supination and pronation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Song WS 13</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Elbow and forearm pain</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>En bloc resection and reconstruction with polyethylene insert and bone cement</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>No recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Khan KM14</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Mass elbow</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wide margin excision with sacrifice of PIN</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>No recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Dahuja A 15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Swelling around elbow with wrist drop</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wide margin excision with non-vascularised fibula with TENS fixation</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>No recurrence Nerve recovered at 2 months post-surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Nayar SK16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Pain in elbow</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Resection and reconstruction with osteoarticular allograft</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>No recurrence Union at 17 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Pain elbow</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wide margin excision</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>No recurrence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Resection and reconstruction with radial head prosthesis is a good option, when the tumor is small. Further loosening of the prosthesis is one of the most serious complications, but the major limitation with the use of radial head prosthesis (RHP) is that only short to midterm results are known and long term results of RHP are not known and the cost of RHP is a big issue especially in developing countries [19,20].

Osteoarticular allografts have been used for reconstruction after resection of giant cell tumor, which is cost effective, restores the bone stock and promotes biological bone union. But the drawbacks are that it is technically demanding surgery, long healing time, risk of fracture, risk of disease transmission, and surgical site infection [21-24]. Considering the benign nature of tumor, amputation per se has little place in the treatment of giant cell tumours.

In our case, the patient presented to us late, by that time, the disease had advanced to the extent where, curettage and bone grafting was not an advisable option, because of fear of recurrence [25,26]. Hence we planned the patient for extensive marginal resection. Resection alone, in our case appeared to be completely effective in eradicating the disease and providing excellent functional outcome. In our patient on last follow-up, she had nearly full range of flexion/extension at elbow, and supination/pronation after extensive supervised physiotherapy.

Conclusion

GCT of proximal radius is very rare tumor, for which, wide margin resection of tumour is a good, cost effective treatment with no recurrence, minimal complications, and disability.
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